Eco-Friendly Acoustic Materials for Certified Separating Walls Between Adjacent Rooms Dr. Amr Soliman Elgohary

Lecturer at The Modern Academy for Engineering and Technology, Cairo, Egypt Archgohary@Gmail.Com

Abstract

As Buildings Became With Multi Adjacent Functions And Activities, Checking The Acoustic Performance Of The Separating Walls Which Divide The Building Area Into Number Of Different Adjacent Rooms And Functions, Has Become A Must In Order To Enhance The Indoor Environmental Quality And Achieve The Acoustical Required Comfort. This Became So Clear Especially After The Remarkable Change Of Life Style And Time Periods We Spent In Different Places Due To Latest Circumstances And The Covid19 Epidemic, For Example, Time Spent In Residential Buildings To Stay And Work From Home. One Of The Most Effective Considerations During Designing And Checking The Separating Walls Is Determining The Sound Transmission Classification, Which Known As (Stc) Rating To Check The Room Separators Performance In The Term Of Sound Transmission Either Transmitted Through The Separator Itself Or Flanking From Joints Between The Separator Components And Other Attached Elements Such As Floor And Ceiling. The Study Is Looking After Eco-Friendly Materials By Encouraging The Use Of Recyclable, Earth Materials, Low Embodied Energy And Improved Economic Impact Materials With Determining The Factors That Enhance The Separating Walls Performance That Help To Qualify The Building To Earn "Leadership In Energy And Environmental Design (Leed) In (Usa), Or Green Pyramid Rating System (Gprs) In Egypt" Certifications, In A Way That Achieves The Sustainability Of Environmental And Economic Resources. Therefore, The Idea Of The Research Is To State An Analytical Study And Numerical Comparative Methodology Dealing With Aspects Of Building Science, Include How To Determine The Suitable (Stc) Ratings Values Of Separating Walls Between Adjacent Rooms According To The Rooms Activities And Adjacency Combination Functions To Come Up With A Set Of Results And Recommendations That Achieve The Objectives Of The Research Which Is To Qualify Buildings To Be Certified.

Keywords:

Separating Walls Design - Sound Transmission Classification (Stc) – (Leed) And (Gprs) Rating Systems – Eco-Friendly Materials.

ملخص البحث

عندما أصبحت المباني عبارة عن فراغات متجاورة ومتقاربة ومتعددة الوظائف والأنشطة , فكان لابد من التأكد من أداء الجدران الفاصلة والتي تقسم مساحة المبني إلي عدد من الفراغات المتجاورة والمختلفة الوظائف وذلك لتحسين جودة البيئة الداخلية للفراغات من خلال العزل الصوتي لتحقيق الخصوصية والتي أصبحت مطلوبة بشكل ملح بعد التغيير الملحوظ في نمط الحياة بسبب المستجدات الأخيرة ووباء كوفيد ١٩, مثل الإقامة والعمل من المنزل , فمن أهم الإعتبارات المؤثرة على تصميم ومراجعة الجدران الفاصلة بين الفراغات المتجاورة هو مجلة العمارة والفنون والعلوم الإنسانية - المجلد السادس - العدد التاسع والعشرين

سبتمبر ۲۰۲۱

تحديد رتبة العزل الصوت سواء من الجدار نفسه أو الإنتقال الجانبي من خلال الفواصل الأنشائية بين عناصر المبني يخص إنتقال الصوت سواء من الجدار نفسه أو الإنتقال الجانبي من خلال الفواصل الأنشائية بين عناصر المبني وبعضها مثل الفاصل الإنشائي بين الجدار مع السقف أو مع الأرضيات, تهتم الدراسة وتشجع علي إستخدام المواد الصديقة للبيئة والمعاد تدوير ها ومواد التربة والمواد قليلة الأستهلاك للطاقة المدمجة والمواد التي لها أثر إقتصادي جيد والتي تساعد علي تأهيل المبني لكسب نقاط في حالة تقييمة من أحد أليات تقييم المباني البيئية والمستدامة مثل نظام تقييم المباني المستدامة (الليد) بالولايات المتحدة الأمريكية أو نظام (الهرم الأخضر) لتقييم المباني المستدامة مثل نظام تقييم المباني المستدامة (الليد) بالولايات المتحدة الأمريكية أو نظام (الهرم الأخضر) القريم تكمن فكرة البحث مستخدما المنهج التحليلي الرقمي المقارني بإستدامة البيئية والإقتصادية للموارد, لذلك المباني المستدامة بجمهورية مصر العربية وذلك في إطار تحقييق الإستدامة البيئية والإقتصادية للموارد, لذلك المباني المستدامة بجمهورية مصر العربية ونيا في إطار تحقيق الإستدامة البيئية والإقتصادية للموارد, لنتقيل المباني المستدامة بجمهورية مصر العربية وذلك في إطار تحقيق الإستدامة البيئية والوقص ايت المعادية الموارد, الذلك ما المباني المصتدامة المعمورية مصر العربية وذلك في إطار تحقيق والاستدامة البيئية والتوصادية للموارد, المعدات تكمن فكرة البحث مستخدما المنهج التحليلي الرقمي المقارني بإستخدام الأليات العلمية بين حساب قيمة رتبة المزل الصوتي للجدار الفاصل أثناء التصميم وقياس قيمة رتبة العزل الصوتي للجدار الفاصل بإستخدام المعدات المتخصصصة إستندادا إلى الأساليب البسيطة المعتمدة عالميا الخروج بمجموعة النتائج والتوصيات التي تحقق المتخصصصة إستندادا إلى الأساليب البسيطة المعتمدة عالميا الخار وج بمجموعة النتائج والتوصيات التي تحقق المتخصصصة إستندا إلى الأساليب البسيطة المعتمدة عالميا الخار وج بمجموعة النتائج والتوصيات التي تحقق المني البحث والتي تساعد المعماري في إتخاذ القرار ات خلال مرحلة تصميم وتنفيذ الجدار الفاصل بشكل يحقق الحد الأدني لرتبة العزل الصحوتي لضحمان تحقيق جودة البيئة الداخلية ويؤهل المبني لكسب نقاط إضافية أثناء

الكلمات المفتاحية تصميم الجدران الفاصلة - رتبة العزل الصوتي – نظم تقييم المباني البيئية والمستدامة - المواد الصديقة للبيئة

1. Introduction

According To The World Green Building Council, There Are Number Of Goals To Make A Building Eco-Friendly, **Goal 1:** Minimizing Energy Use In All Stages Of A Building's Life-Cycle. **Goal 2:** Minimizing Water Use In Buildings. **Goal 3:** Minimizing Waste And Maximizing Reuse. **Goal 4:** Avoiding Materials And Chemicals That Create Harmful Or Toxic Emissions. **Goal 5:** Designing For Ears As Well As Eyes. Acoustics And Proper Sound Insulation Play Important Roles In Helping Peaceful Enjoyment Of A Building. **Goal 6:** Keeping Our Environment Green. **Goal 7:** Creating Resilient And Flexible Structures. **Goal 8:** Connecting Communities And People. **Goal 9:** Considering All Stages Of A Building's Life-Cycle [1]¹

In This Context, The Research Focuses On **Goal 5** That Certain The Importance Of Acoustical Performance With Pursuit To Achieving Other Goals By Achieving The Research Objectives That Help The Architect To Take The Best Decisions During The Design Phase In The Way To Make A Building More Sustainable And Eco-Friendly.

2. Research Objectives And Methodology

2.1 Research Objectives

The Research Main Objective Is To Achieve The Optimization Of Acoustical Performance To The Separators Between Adjacent Rooms Using Ecofriendly Solutions To Qualify Buildings To Be (Leed) Or (Gprs) Certified By Achieving The Following Secondary Objectives: 1-Identifying The Separating Walls As One Of The Building Layers. 2- Studying The Separating Walls Acoustics Performance Within Green Building Rating Systems. 3- Identifying The (Stc)

Rating Role In Buildings Design And Construction Stages. 4- Studying The Numerical Calculations To (Stc) Rating By Worksheets And By Software. 5- Determining The Total Surface Mass $m_s (kg/m^2)$ To Common Traditional Building Materials. 6- Comparing Between (Stc) Ratings For Suggested Separating Walls Types To Support The Egyptian Code For Acoustics Works As An Important Reference For Architect And Supportive To (Gprs) Assessment. 7- Illustrate The Difference Between Field Measurements (Construction Stage) And Calculations (Design Stage) Of Airborne Sound Insulation Of Determine (Stc) Rating. 8-Studying The Ways To Make Buildings Eco-Friendly And Illustrate The Technical Actions To Optimizing The Acoustical Performance To Eco-Friendly And Certified Separators Between Adjacent Rooms.

2.2 Research Methodology

There Are Three Methods Used To Achieve The Research Objectives: **1- Descriptive Method**: Determining The Ways To Make A Building Eco-Friendly, Separating Walls Acoustics Performance Within Green Building Rating Systems As One Of The Building Layers And (Stc) Rating Role In Buildings Design And Construction Stages. **2- Analytical Method**: Analyze The Methods Of (Stc) Rating Numerical Calculations, And Analyze The Egyptian Code For Acoustics Works To Determine The Important Technical Actions Associated With Building Layers To Optimizing The Acoustical Performance To Eco-Friendly And Certified Room Separators. **3- Comparative Method:** Apply The (Stc) Rating Calculation Methods To Determine The Stc Ratings For Suggested Separating Walls Types Using Common And Eco-Friendly Materials, And Apply The (Stc) Rating Calculation Methods In A Comparative Analysis Experiment Between Field Measurements And Worksheet Calculations Of Airborne Sound Insulation Of Determined (Stc) Rating To Separating Wall Between Adjacent Guest Rooms

3. Separating Walls

Separating Walls Are The Walls Which Divide A Building Area Into Number Of Different Adjacent Rooms And Functions, It Should Be Opaque To Provide Sight Privacy And Able To Enhance The Indoor Environmental Quality By Enhancing The Sound Transmission Classification (Stc) According To Its Adjacency Combination Functions.



Fig.1. Building Layers, Author Based On [2]²

سبتمبر ۲۰۲۱

The Previous Fig.1 Illustrates Six Building Layers (Site, Envelope, Structure, Services, Separating Walls And Interior Finishes & Furniture). Separating Walls And Interior Finishes Are The First Layers That Affect Positively Or Negatively The Indoor Environment Quality.

4. Separating Walls Acoustics Performance Within Green Building Rating Systems

Initiatives Have Been Introduced To Consider The Acoustics Performance By Adding (Stc) Rating For Separating Walls Within The Indoor Environmental Quality (Eq) Assessment Element In Its Latest Leed 4.1 In (Usa) As Being Widely Used For All Types Of Buildings, Community And Home Projects In Usa [3] ³, And Latest Gprs In Egypt, These Rating Systems Began To Determine The Minimum Composite Sound Transmission Classifications (Stc) Ratings Required For The Separating Walls Between Adjacent Rooms According To Adjacency Combination Functions As Illustrated In The Following Table.1., To Provide Rooms And Workspaces That Promote Occupants Well-Being, Productivity And Communication Through Effective Acoustic Design. [4] ⁴

Table.1. Mi	i nimum	Composi	te Sound 7	Fransmi s	sion Classif	icati	ion Ratings	Required For
Separating	Walls	Between	Adjacent	Rooms	According	То	Adjacency	Combination
Functions N	Aention	ed In Lee	d 4.1 And	Gprs, Aı	ithor Based	On	[5] [6] ⁵ - ⁶	

		Minimum Stc Rating			
S.	Adjacency Combin	ations Functions	Required		
			Leed	Gprs	
1	Collaborative / Multi-Use	Hallway, Stairway	25	37	
2	Private	Hallway, Stairway	35	48	
3	Collaborative / Multi-Use	Collaborative / Multi-Use	40	45	
4	Confidential	Hallway, Stairway	40	52	
5	Collaborative / Multi-Use	Private	45	54	
6	Private / Residential	Private / Residential	45	52	
7	Private / Hotel	Private / Hotel	45	48	
8	Retail	Retail	50	45	
9	Collaborative / Multi-Use	Confidential	50	52	
10	Private	Confidential	50	52	
11	Confidential	Confidential	50	52	
12	Conference Room	Conference Room	50	45	
13	Mechanical Equipment Room	Hallway, Stairway	50	52	
14	Mechanical Equipment Room	Occupied Area	60	52	

5. Stc Rating Role In Buildings Design And Construction

To Qualify Buildings To Be Eco-Friendly Or (Leed/Gprs) Certified, It Is A Must To Determine The Values Of (Stc) Rating To The Separating Walls Between Adjacent Rooms Using Simple Methods (Worksheet Or Certified Software) At The Design Phase And Measure It For Checking After Construction To Compare It With The Minimum Composite (Stc) Ratings Required Which Are Illustrated In Table.1 And Mentioned In (Leed 4.1) And (Gprs) Rating Systems.

5.1 Numerical Calculation To (Stc) Using Worksheet

The Stc For The Separating Wall Between Adjacent Rooms May Be Calculated Using The Worksheet As Illustrated In The Following Fig.1, And Following Six Steps Based On Materials Thickness, Density And Weight According To (Astm E413-87) Report Or The American Society Of Testing And Materials And (Iso717) Or The International Standard Organization.

Step 1: Write The Transmission Loss (ΔL_{TL}) For Each One-Third Octave Band Frequencies From 125 Through 4000 Hz In The Column Labelled (ΔL_{TL}) On The Worksheet, Fig.2, After That Calculate It By The Following Formula Eq.1.

 $\Delta L_{TL} = 20 \text{ Log (} fM_T) - k_{TL}$ (1)

Where: f = Frequency (Hz)

$$M_{T}$$
 = Total Surface Mass $\left(\frac{kg}{m^2}\right)$

 k_{TL} = Numerical Constant (47.3 dB in metric units) [7]⁷

f	ΔL_{TL}	STC _{CA}	ΔL_{TL} Adjusted	Tria	Trials STC_w and Df			
6				STC _{w1}	STC _{w2}	STC _{w3}		
125	T	+16		1				
160		+13						
200		+10		Step 4				
250		+7						
315		+4						
400	Ctan	+1		*	2			
500	Step	0		1	5			
640	1&2	-1	Step 3					
800		-2		Stan 5	-			
1000		-3		Step 5				
1250		-4						
1600		-4						
2000		-4						
2500		-4		Step 6				
3150		-4						
4000		-4						
			Sum of deficienc	ies 🔻				
			S	TC	ð. 0			

FIG.2. SOUND TRANSMISSION CLASSIFICATION (STC) WORKSHEET CALCULATION, AUTHOR BASED ON [8]⁸

Where:	Δ L _{TL}	= Transmission Loss For Composite Separating Wall Between
		Adjacent Rooms (dB)
	STC _{CA}	= Numerical Constant Values Of Shifted Reference Contour
		Adjustment Given In International Standard Organization
		Iso717 In The 16 Test Frequency Bands (dB)
	ΔL_{TL} Adjusted	= Sound Transmission Loss Adjusted (dB)
	STC _w	= Sound Transmission Class Wishing To Test(dB), Must

Compared With Minimum Stc Rating Required In Table.1.

= Deficiencies (dB)

Df

Step 2: By The Following Eq. 2., Calculate The Total Surface Mass $M_T (kg/m^2)$ Of Composite Separating Wall Between Adjacent Rooms Materials. The Following Table.2 Listed The Common (General Use / Limited Use) Traditional Building Materials With Its Density And Classified In Blocks, Panels And Additive Materials.

 $M_{T} = m_{s1} + m_{s2} + \dots + m_{sn} = \frac{\rho_{1*Q1}}{A_{1}} + \frac{\rho_{2*Q2}}{A_{2}} + \dots + \frac{\rho_{n*Qn}}{A_{n}}$ (2) **Where:** m_{s} = Surface Mass Density Of Separating Wall Betw

- $m_s =$ Surface Mass Density Of Separating Wall Between Adjacent Wall Materials $(\frac{kg}{m^2})$
- ρ = Density Of Building Material ($\frac{kg}{m^3}$), Table (2) Listed The Density To Common Building Materials
- Q = Quantity Of Material (m³), From The Building Boq Sheet
- A = Separating Wall Surface Area (m^2), Assumed Area Of $1m^2$

Table.2. Total Surface Mass m_s (kg/m²) For Common (General Use / Limited Use) Traditional Building Materials And Its Density, Author Based On [9] [10] ⁹ ¹

		Materials	ρ.	A	Т	Q	W	m _s	Use
	1	Well Fired Bricks	1700	1	0.12	0.12	204	204	Gu
	2	Dense Cast Concrete	2100	1	0.1	0.1	210	210	Gu
	3	Lightweight Cast Concrete	1200	1	0.1	0.1	120	120	Gu
	4	Heavyweight Concrete Block	2300	1	0.1	0.1	230	230	Gu
Blocks	5	Medium Weight Concrete Block	1400	1	0.1	0.1	140	140	Gu
	6	Lightweight Concrete Block	600	1	0.1	0.1	60	60	Gu
	7	Stone (Structure-Slates)	2700	1	0.1	0.1	270	270	Lu
	8	Straw Bales	80	1	0.45	0.45	36	36	Lu
	9	Compressed Earth	2000	1	0.1	0.1	200	200	Lu
	1	Recycled Steel	8000	1	0.002	0.002	16	16	Gu
	2	Recycled Aluminum	2700	1	0.002	0.002	5.4	5.4	Lu
s	3	Concrete With Cement	2400	1	0.1	0.1	240	240	Gu
ane	4	Plaster Board	950	1	0.01	0.01	9	9	Gu
Р	5	Gypsum Boards	800	1	0.01	0.01	8	8	Gu
	6	Glass	2400	1	0.01	0.01	24	24	Gu
	7	Timber	650	1	0.01	0.01	1.1	1.1	Gu
	1	Polystyrene Foamed	25	1	0.05	0.05	1.25	1.25	Lu
	2	Perlite Expanded	80	1	0.05	0.05	4	4	Lu
al	3	Bitumen	1000	1	0.005	0.005	5	5	Lu
teri	4	Mineral Glass Wool	10	1	0.05	0.05	0.5	0.5	Lu
Ma	5	Mineral Rock Wool	30	1	0.05	0.05	1.5	1.5	Lu
ive	6	Tile Hanging	1900	1	0.01	0.01	19	19	Gu
ldit	7	Normal Mortar	1750	1	0.02	0.02	35	35	Gu
A	8	Dense Plaster	1300	1	0.02	0.02	26	26	Gu
	9	Lightweight Plaster	600	1	0.02	0.02	12	12	Gu
	10	Air Cavity	1.18	1	0.05	0.05	0.059	0.059	Gu
Abbreviations: Gu: In General Use; Lu: In Limited Use									

0

سبتمبر ۲۰۲۱

Where:

Т

W

= The Common Materials Thickness By (m)
= The Weight Of Building Material (kg) With The Common Thickness Used (T)

Step 3: Add The Number In The (STC_{CA}) Column Algebraically To (Δ L_{TL}) And Write The Result In The (Δ L_{TL} Adjusted) Column By The Following Formula Eq.3.

 $\Delta L_{TL} Adjusted = \Delta L_{TL} + STC_{CA}$ (3)

Step 4: Determination Of Sound Transmission Class Wishing To Test (STC_w) By Taking Average To The Values Of (ΔL_{TL} Adjusted) At All Frequencies To Get The First Trial (Stc), Write This Trial (STC_{w1}) At The Top Of The First Column Under Trial (STC_w And Df).

Step 5: For Each Frequency Subtract (ΔL_{TL} Adjusted) From The Current Trial (STC_{w1}) By Formula Eq.4. To Determine The Deficiencies Values.

 $Df = STC_w - \Delta L_{TL} Adjusted$

Step 6: Add Algebraically The Positive Deficiencies Numbers Only For The First $TrialSTC_{w1}$, And Write The Sum At The Bottom Of The Column And Check The Following:

(4)

- If The Sum Of The Deficiencies Is 32 Or Less, The Trial STC_{w1} Is The True Stc, And The Calculation Is Complete.
- If The Sum Of The Deficiencies Is Greater Than 32, Subtract One From The Current Trial STC_{w1} To Get A New Trial STC_{w2} And Repeat Until The Correct Stc Is Found. [8]

Note: Compare The (STC_{w1}) Value With The (Stc) Rating Required According To Adjacency Combinations Functions In Table.1. And Check The Following:

• If The Value Of (Stc) Calculated Is Near Or Equal The (Stc) Rating Required, The Trial Is True

• If The Value Of (Stc) Calculated Is Less Than The (Stc) Rating Required, Change The Separator Materials With More Thickness Or Higher Density.

• If The Value Of (Stc) Calculated Is Greater Than The (Stc) Rating Required, Change The Separator Materials With Less Thickness Or Lower Density.

The Following Fig.2. Show An Example To Stc Numerical Calculation For 10 Cm Structural Stone, Block Density 2700kg/m³ Using Worksheet.

It's Possible To Repeat The Same Previous Procedures Concept In Any Common, Traditional

Separat	or type: 10) cm structur	al stone	, block	density 2	2/00 kg/m Trial	STC and	Df
)	ΔL_{TL}	SICCA	Δ L _{TL} Aajustea		60	59	STC	
125	43.3	+16	1	59.3		0.7	0	OT OWA
160	45.4	+13		58.4		1.6	0.6	1
200	47.3	+10		57.3		2.7	1.7	
250	49.3	+7		56.3		3.7	2.7	-
315	51.3	+4	0	55.3		4.7	3.7	STC
400	53.4	+1		54.4	/	5.6	4.6	value
500	55.3	0		55.3	1	4.7	3.7	, its
640	57.5	-1		56.5		3.5	2.5	Df
800	59.4	-2		57.4		2.6	1.6	than
1000	61.3	-3		58.3	/	1.7	0.7	32
1250	63.3	-4		59.3		0.7	0	/
1600	65.4	-4		61.4		0	0	/
2000	67.3	-4		63.3		0	0	/
2500	69.3	-4		65.3		0	0	/
3150	71.3	-4	1	67.3		0	0 /	
4000	73.4	-4		69.4		0	0 🖌	
	1		Sum	of defic	iencies	32.3>32	21.8<32	
		1		1	STC	+	59	
From E	q. 1. & Eq. 2.	From For 3	ΔL_{TI}	verage o Adjusted	of values	Greater than	32 1	From Eq.

Fig.3. Example To Stc Numerical Calculation Using Worksheet For Structural Stone Separating Wall Between Two Adjacent Rooms, Author

And Eco-Friendly Building Materials Types Used In Separating Walls Between Adjacent Rooms.

5.2 Determination Of (Stc) Rating Using Insul Software

Nowadays, Software's Can Be Used To Quickly Calculate And Evaluate Materials Or To Checking The Effects Of Changes On Existing Buildings. Insul Is A Software For Determination Of Sound Transmission Classification Stc Of Walls, Floors, Roofs, Ceilings And Windows, Developed By Marshall Day Acoustics. The Software Based On Analytical Calculations According To Astm Or Iso That Only Need Simple Input Data For The Design And Can Predict Transmission Loss For: 1- Single, Double And Triple Panels With Many Types Of Connections. 2- Sandwich And Corrugated Panels. 3 - Slabs With Or Without Suspended Ceiling. 4- Slabs With Floating Floor. 8- Facades. 5- Single, Double And Triple Glazing Windows. 6- Porous Absorbers. [11]¹



Fig.2. Interface Of Insul Software Illustrate The Stc Numerical Analytical Calculations Steps According To Astm And Iso Standards. [12] ¹ ²

5.3 (Stc) Rating For Separating Walls Types

The Five Main Patterns Illustrated In The Following Table.3 Comes As An Extension To The Separating Walls Types Mentioned On The Egyptian Code For Acoustics And Noise Control, These Patterns Are Illustrated With Their Main Properties That Affect Their Performance Such As (Stc) Rating Values (Calculated By Previous Methods), Deficiencies, Weight And Thickness Were Chosen Depending On Their Composition On The Local Traditional Materials And Commonly Used In The Architectural Field In Egypt, Taking Into Account The Eco-Friendly And Economical Side To Achieve Sustainability, And Was Proposed Based On The Difference In The Following:

- a) Nature Of Materials Sources Whether Natural, Fabricated Or Mixed Materials.
- b) Density Of Materials.
- c) Thickness Of Each Type.
- d) Method Of Installation Whether Mechanical Or Non-Mechanical.
- e) New, Recyclable And Eco-Friendly Materials.

Table.3. Types Of Separating Walls Between Adjacent Rooms Using Different Materials, With Type Description (Td), Cross Sections, (STC) Rating Calculated Values, Deficiencies (Df), Material Weight (W) And Type Thickness (T), Author.

	Masonry Type	Panels Type (Pt.)	Ecological Type (Et)	Concrete Type
	(MIL)	Dt 1 (Clasing	Et 1 (Strowhole)	(Ct)
	Bricks)	Pt. 1. (Glazing Panel)	Et. 1. (Strawbale)	Panel)
Td	2 cm lightweight plaster of density 600 kg/m3 12 cm well fired brick of density 1700 kg/m3	I cm glass of density 2400 kg/m3	45 cm straw balc blocks of density 80 kg/m3	10 cm lightweight cast concrete on situ or large panel of density 1200 kg/m3 (optional plaster)
STC	58 dB	38 dB	41 dB	52 dB
Df	26.4 dB/32	29.2 dB/32	23.6 dB/32	29.5 dB/32
W	228 kg/m ²	24 kg/m ²	36 kg/m ²	120 kg/m ²
Т	16 cm	1 cm	45 cm	10 cm
	Mt.2. (Clay Half	Pt. 2. (Cgb Panel)	Et. 2. (Rammed	
	Bricks)		Earth)	
Td	1cm plasterboard of density 950 kg/m3 12 cm well fired brick of density 1700 kg/m3	5"x5" wooden stud Icm gypsum board of density 800 kg/m3 5 cm, air cavity of density 1.18 kg/m3	10 cm Compressed earth of density 2000 kg/m3	
STC	58 dB	34 dB	56 dB	
Df	28.6 dB/32	23.7 dB/32	25 dB/32	
W	223 kg/m ²	16 kg/m ²	200 kg/m ²	
Т	14 cm	7 cm	10 cm	
	Mt.3. (Clay One Bricks)	Pt. 3. (Igb Panel)		
Td	2 cm lightweight plaster of density 600 kg/m3 25 cm well fired brick of density 1700 kg/m3	5"x5" wooden stud Icm gypsum board of density 800 kg/m3 5 cm perite filler of density 80 kg/m3		
STC	63 dB	36 dB		
Df	24.4 dB/32	24.7 dB/32		
W	449 kg/m ²	20 kg/m ²		
Т	29 cm	7 cm		
	Mt.4. (Structural	Pt. 4. (Igb Panel)	Recyclable Type	
	Stone)		(Rt)	
			Rt. 1. (Aluminyom Panel)	
Td	10 cm structural stone of density 2700 kg/m3	5"x5" wooden stud lcm gypsum board of density 800 kg/m3 5 cm Mineral rock wool of density 30 kg/m3	5"x5" wooden stud 2 mm recycled Aluminum of density 2700 kg/m3 5 cm polystyrene foamed of density 25 kg/m3	
STC	59 dB	35 dB	32 dB	

1 • 1 1	سبعبر	ل - العد الماليع والعشرين	فلوم الإلسانية = المجلد السادة	مجله العمارة والعلون وال
Df	21.8 dB/32	26.4 dB/32	29.1 dB/32	
W	270 kg/m ²	18 kg/m ²	12 kg/m ²	
Т	10 cm	7 cm	5.04 cm	
	Mt.5. (Concrete	Pt. 5. (Sip Panel)	Rt. 1. (Steel Panel)	
	Bricks)			
Td	2 cm lightweight plaster of density 600 kg/m3 10 cm lightweight concrete block of density 600 kg/m3	5"x5" wooden stud I em timber of density 650 kg/m3 5 em polystyrene foamed of density 25 kg/m3	5"x5" wooden stud 2 mm recycled steel of density 8000 kg/m3 5 cm polystyrene foamed of density 25 kg/m3	
STC	49 dB	33 dB	41 dB	
Df	30.5 dB/32	24.1 dB/32	31.1 dB/32	
W	84 kg/m ²	15 kg/m ²	34 kg/m ²	
Т	10 cm	7 cm	5.04 cm	

1 11 11 11 J. 11 1211

+- t1 - 1+t1 +- t1

~ ~

Using Comparative Methodology, The Following Fig. 4, Illustrated A Comparison Between The Previous Separating Wall Types In The Previous Table. 3., As A Start To Establish A Product Category System (Pcs) For Building Materials, That Illustrate A Set Of Parallel Technical Integrated Information To Building Material (Product) To Help The Architect To Select The Suitable Material For Each Building Elements.

It Was Some Of Indicators And Observations From Separating Walls Between Adjacent Rooms Types, The Comparison Illustrated In Fig.4 As The Following:

a) Using Plasterboard In Masonry Type (2) With Mechanical Installation, It Reduced The Thickness Of Separating Wall Between Adjacent Spaces By 2 Cm, Comparing With Plaster Mortar In Masonry Type (1), Which Has A Good Impact On Achieving An Economical Area To Room, With The Same (Stc) Rating (58) Decibel.

b) Increasing Separator Mass Or Doubling Thickness As Masonry Type (3) Is Not An Efficient Parameter To Enhance The (Stc) Rating Comparing With Masonry Type (1), So, This Technique Must Be Carried Out Keeping In Mind The Wall Weight And Its Cost To Achieve The Sustainability Of Environmental And Economic Resources.

c) Using Structural Stone In Masonry Type (4) Gave Approximately The Same (Stc) Rating As Masonry Type (1) And (2), But Reduced The Thickness Of Separating Wall By 6 Cm, And Reduced The Materials Consumed In Wall Finishing Layers.

d) Masonry Type (5) Achieved The Best Weight Compared With All Masonry Types, Despite The Low Value Of (Stc), But It Is Suitable For Some Adjacency Combinations, Such As Private With Multiuse Combinations Functions.

e) Recyclable Type Reduced The Embodied Energy, Embodied Carbon And Water Consumption, And May Be Increase The (Stc) Rating By Increasing The Density Of The Panels' Filler, Such As Polystyrene Foamed And Its Thickness.

f) The Advantage Of Concrete Type (1), (On Site Light Weight Concrete), Is Low Weight With High (Stc) Rating, Comparing With Masonry Types And Can Increase Its Performance By Using Insulated Concrete Forms System.



FIG.4. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE SEPARATING WALLS TYPES BETWEEN ADJACENT ROOMS USING DIFFERENT MATERIALS AS A NUCLEUS TO (PCS), AUTHOR

6. Difference Between (Stc) Rating Values Calculated And Field Measurment: Case Study

The Case Study Is A Comparative Analysis Experiment Between Field Measurements And Calculations (Worksheet/Software) Of Airborne Sound Insulation Of Determined (Stc) Rating To Separating Wall Between Adjacent Guest Rooms At Nile Cornish Towers Ncp, As Illustrated In The Following Table. 4.

Table.4. Comparative Analysis Between (Stc) Rating Field Measurements AndCalculations To Separating Wall Between Adjacent Guest Rooms At Nile Cornish TowersNcp, Author Based On [13]3

Location	Cairo, Egypt		Designer		Mga, Usa				
Building Type	Hotel			Cons	ultant	Ecg, Egypt			
Area		8000 M	2	Conti	ractor	Ccc,	Egypt		
Rooms Location		Ro	ooms No. 1	207&1209	– Tower 2	2 – Level 12			
	Ho	using Ar	nd Building	g National F	Research C	Center, Cairo,	Egypt –		
Measurements By	Website: <u>Www.Hbrc.Edu.Eg</u> – Email: <u>Hbrc@Hbrc.Edu.Eg</u> – Fax: 02-								
		33351564 – P. O. Box: 1770 Cairo, Egypt.							
Test Date		July 201	8	Forn	n No.	Qmp-101	Qmp-1018-002-001		
Report No.	Bpel	-A-T12-8	88-/018	Sampl	e Code	Bpel-A	A-T12-88		
~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		ilizz M	5					
Section Drawing		Fire r	ated scalant	Pic	ture Shov	vs			
For The Tested	X X 8	Contin 12.5 n	nuous runner channe nm fire rated gypsun wity 800 kg/m3 fixed	l 1 board d in light	The				
Gypsum Board	1.1.1	gauge	steel studs	a in right Mo	easureme	nt See			
Separating Wall	XXX	of der	nsity 800 kg/m3	of Ins	truments	In	STAL		
Between 1 wo		densit	y 40 kg/m3	^{**} T	he Tested	and all all all all all all all all all al			
Adjacent Guest				G	uest Roon	n	have		
Kooms	X X X	Fire ra	ng Level			Contraction of the second	Mar Con		
Equipment Used	Om	mi Direc	tional Lou	dspeaker –	Power An	nplifier – Sou	nd Level		
For Transmission	Calibra	tor – Ro	tating Mic	rophone Bo	om – Kim	io – Sound Le	evel Meter –		
Loga TI Togt	Preamplifier - Microphone								
Loss 11 Test			Pre	ampimer -	Micropho	ne			
Loss II Test	f	Calcul	ations (W	orksheet/Se	oftware)	Measur	ements		
Loss II Test	f	$\frac{\text{Calcul}}{\Delta L_{\text{TL}}}$	ations (Wo	orksheet/S Δ L _{TL}	oftware) Df	Measur Δ L _{TL}	ements Df		
Loss 11 Test	f	$\frac{\text{Calcul}}{\Delta L_{\text{TL}}}$	ations (Wo	orksheet/So Δ L _{TL} Adjusted	oftware) Df	Measur ∆ L _{TL} Tested	ements Df		
Loss 11 Test	f 125	Calcul Δ L _{TL} 26.6	ations (Wo STC _{CA} +16	orksheet/S Δ L _{TL} Adjusted 42.6	oftware) Df l 0.4	Measur Δ L _{TL} Tested 25.1	ements Df 0.4		
	f 125 160	Calcul Δ L _{TL} 26.6 28.8	ations (Wo STC _{CA} +16 +13	orksheet/So Δ L _{TL} Adjusted 42.6 41.8	oftware) Df 1 0.4 1.2	$ Measur \Delta LTL Tested 25.1 30.6 $	ements Df 0.4 1.2		
	f 125 160 200	Calcul Δ L _{TL} 26.6 28.8 30.7	ations (W) STC_{CA} +16 +13 +10	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{orksheet/S}\\ \hline \mathbf{\Delta L_{TL}}\\ \mathbf{Adjustec}\\ 42.6\\ 41.8\\ 40.7 \end{array}$	Oftware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3	Measur Δ L _{TL} Tested 25.1 30.6 25.4	one one <thon< th=""> <thon< th=""> <thon< th=""></thon<></thon<></thon<>		
$\Delta L_{TL} Adjusted$	f 125 160 200 250	Calcul Δ L _{TL} 26.6 28.8 30.7 32.6	+16 +13 +10 +7	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	oftware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4	Measur Δ L _{TL} Tested 25.1 30.6 25.4 32.1	Df 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4		
Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And	f 125 160 200 250 315	Calcul Δ L _{TL} 26.6 28.8 30.7 32.6 34.6	ations (Wo STC _{CA} +16 +13 +10 +7 +4	orksheet/S Δ L _{TL} Adjusted 42.6 41.8 40.7 39.6 38.6	Offware) Offware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4	Measur Δ L _{TL} Tested 25.1 30.6 25.4 32.1 34.3	Of 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4		
$\Delta L_{TL} Adjusted$ Calculations And $\Delta L_{TL} Tested For$ Checking	f 125 160 200 250 315 400	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Calcul} \\ \Delta \ \textbf{L}_{TL} \\ \hline 26.6 \\ 28.8 \\ 30.7 \\ 32.6 \\ 34.6 \\ 36.7 \\ \end{array}$	ations (W) STC_{CA} +16 +13 +10 +7 +4 +1	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Oftware) Oftware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3	Measur Δ L _{TL} Tested 25.1 30.6 25.4 32.1 34.3 38.4	Of 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3		
Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And Δ L _{TL} Tested For Checking	f 125 160 200 250 315 400 500	Calcul Δ L _{TL} 26.6 28.8 30.7 32.6 34.6 36.7 38.6	ations (Wo STC _{CA} +16 +13 +10 +7 +4 +1 0	orksheet/S Δ L _{TL} Adjusted 42.6 41.8 40.7 39.6 38.6 37.7 38.6	Oftware) Oftware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \end{tabular}$	Of 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4		
Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And Δ L _{TL} Tested For Checking Measurements Numerical	f 125 160 200 250 315 400 500 640	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Calcul \\ \hline \Delta \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	ations (W) STCCA +16 +13 +10 +7 +4 +1 0 -1	orksheet/S Δ L _{TL} Adjusted 42.6 41.8 40.7 39.6 38.6 37.7 38.6 39.8	Offware) Offware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.7 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Of 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 3.2		
Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And Δ L _{TL} Tested For Checking Measurements Numerical Results In Octave	f 125 160 200 250 315 400 500 640 800	Calcul Δ L _{TL} 26.6 28.8 30.7 32.6 34.6 36.7 38.6 40.8 42.7	$\begin{array}{r} \text{ations (W)} \\ \text{STC}_{CA} \\ +16 \\ +13 \\ +10 \\ +7 \\ +4 \\ +1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Oftware) Oftware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.7 \\ \hline 42 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	One One 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 2.3		
Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And Δ L _{TL} Tested For Checking Measurements Numerical Results In Octave Band Frequencies	f 125 160 200 250 315 400 500 640 800 1000	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Calcul \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline 26.6 \\ \hline 28.8 \\ \hline 30.7 \\ \hline 32.6 \\ \hline 34.6 \\ \hline 34.6 \\ \hline 36.7 \\ \hline 38.6 \\ \hline 40.8 \\ \hline 42.7 \\ \hline 44.7 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{r} \text{ations (W)} \\ \text{STC}_{CA} \\ +16 \\ +13 \\ +10 \\ +7 \\ +4 \\ +1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Offware) Offware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.7 \\ \hline 42 \\ \hline 46.5 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	one one 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3		
Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And Δ L _{TL} Tested For Checking Measurements Numerical Results In Octave Band Frequencies	f 125 160 200 250 315 400 500 640 800 1000 1250	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Calcul \\ \hline \Delta \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	$\begin{array}{r} \text{ations (W)} \\ \hline \text{stc}_{CA} \\ +16 \\ +13 \\ +10 \\ +7 \\ +4 \\ +1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Offware) Offware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.7 \\ \hline 42 \\ \hline 46.5 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Df 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4		
Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And Δ L _{TL} Tested For Checking Measurements Numerical Results In Octave Band Frequencies	f 125 160 200 250 315 400 500 640 800 1000 1250 1600	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Calcul \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 26.6 \\ \hline \\ 28.8 \\ \hline \\ 30.7 \\ \hline \\ 32.6 \\ \hline \\ 34.6 \\ \hline \\ 34.6 \\ \hline \\ 36.7 \\ \hline \\ 38.6 \\ \hline \\ 40.8 \\ \hline \\ 42.7 \\ \hline \\ 44.7 \\ \hline \\ 46.6 \\ \hline \\ 48.8 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{r} \text{ations (W)} \\ \text{stc}_{CA} \\ +16 \\ +13 \\ +10 \\ +7 \\ +4 \\ +1 \\ 0 \\1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Oftware) Oftware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4 0.4	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.7 \\ \hline 42 \\ \hline 46.5 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline 48.3 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	one one 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4 0		
Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And Δ L _{TL} Tested For Checking Measurements Numerical Results In Octave Band Frequencies	f 125 160 200 250 315 400 500 640 800 1000 1250 1600 2000	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Calcul \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline 26.6 \\ \hline 28.8 \\ \hline 30.7 \\ \hline 32.6 \\ \hline 34.6 \\ \hline 34.6 \\ \hline 36.7 \\ \hline 38.6 \\ \hline 40.8 \\ \hline 42.7 \\ \hline 44.7 \\ \hline 46.6 \\ \hline 48.8 \\ \hline 50.7 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{r} \text{ations (W)} \\ \text{stc}_{CA} \\ +16 \\ +13 \\ +10 \\ +7 \\ +4 \\ +1 \\ 0 \\1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \end{array}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Offware) Offware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.7 \\ \hline 42 \\ \hline 46.5 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline 48.3 \\ \hline 45.8 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Df 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4 0 0		
Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And Δ L _{TL} Tested For Checking Measurements Numerical Results In Octave Band Frequencies	f 125 160 200 250 315 400 500 640 800 1000 1250 1600 2000 2500	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline Calcul \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 26.6 \\ \hline \\ 28.8 \\ \hline \\ 30.7 \\ \hline \\ 32.6 \\ \hline \\ 34.6 \\ \hline \\ 36.7 \\ \hline \\ 38.6 \\ \hline \\ 40.8 \\ \hline \\ 42.7 \\ \hline \\ 44.7 \\ \hline \\ 44.7 \\ \hline \\ 44.7 \\ \hline \\ 44.8 \\ \hline \\ 50.7 \\ \hline \\ 52.6 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{r} \text{ations (W)} \\ \text{stc}_{CA} \\ +16 \\ +13 \\ +10 \\ +7 \\ +4 \\ +1 \\ 0 \\1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	oftware) oftware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.7 \\ \hline 42 \\ \hline 46.5 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline 48.3 \\ \hline 45.8 \\ \hline 47.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Df 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Loss II Test Δ L _{TL} Adjusted Calculations And Δ L _{TL} Tested For Checking Measurements Numerical Results In Octave Band Frequencies	f 125 160 200 250 315 400 500 640 800 1000 1250 1600 2000 2500 3150	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline Calcul \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 26.6 \\ \hline \\ 28.8 \\ \hline \\ 30.7 \\ \hline \\ 32.6 \\ \hline \\ 34.6 \\ \hline \\ 34.6 \\ \hline \\ 36.7 \\ \hline \\ 38.6 \\ \hline \\ 40.8 \\ \hline \\ 40.8 \\ \hline \\ 42.7 \\ \hline \\ 44.7 \\ \hline \\ 44.7 \\ \hline \\ 44.7 \\ \hline \\ 46.6 \\ \hline \\ 48.8 \\ \hline \\ 50.7 \\ \hline \\ 52.6 \\ \hline \\ 54.6 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{r} \text{ations (W)} \\ \text{stc}_{CA} \\ +16 \\ +13 \\ +10 \\ +7 \\ +4 \\ +1 \\ 0 \\1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Offware) Offware) Df 1 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4 0 <t< th=""><th>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.7 \\ \hline 42 \\ \hline 46.5 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline 48.3 \\ \hline 45.8 \\ \hline 47.8 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$</th><th>one one 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</th></t<>	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Measur \\ \hline \Delta \ L_{TL} \\ \hline Tested \\ \hline 25.1 \\ \hline 30.6 \\ \hline 25.4 \\ \hline 32.1 \\ \hline 34.3 \\ \hline 38.4 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.3 \\ \hline 39.7 \\ \hline 42 \\ \hline 46.5 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline 48.3 \\ \hline 45.8 \\ \hline 47.8 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline 49.6 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	one one 0.4 1.2 2.3 3.4 4.4 5.3 4.4 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		



The Main Result Of This Experiment, That The Stc Tested Using Instruments Is Less Than The Stc Calculated By 8 Db (Approximately 20%) Difference Because Of Flanking Transmission From Building Elements Connections, In Addition To, That Both Values Are Less Than The (Stc) Rating Required According To Adjacently Combinations Functions In Table.1, So, The Designer Must Consider That During Design, And The Executive Engineer Must Consider The Flanking That Occur During Construction To Achieve The Minimum (Stc) Rating Required By Some Acoustical Considerations And Architectural Actions To Achieve The Optimizing Of Certified Acoustical Eco-Friendly Separators Between Rooms.

7. Optimizing Of Certified Acoustical Eco-Friendly Separators Between Adjacent Rooms

To Achieve The Optimization Of Certified Eco-Friendly Separating Walls Between Adjacent Rooms Not From Executive Technical And Architectural Action Consideration Illustrated In The Following Table.5 Only, But The Materials Used Must Offer An Environmental Advantages To Improve The Separating Walls (Stc) Rating And Respect The Following Principles Of Eco-Friendly Materials And Resources Mentioned In (Leed) And (Gprs) To Qualify Building To Gain More Credits During Assessment: [5] - [14]¹

a. Use The Environmental Product Declaration (Epd) Certified Materials For Which Life Cycle Information Is Available And Have A Good Environmentally, Economically And Socially Life Cycle Impact.

b. Recommend Flexible Materials In Design And Execution Phases To The Reduction Of Total Construction, Retrofitting And Demolition Waste.

c. Use The Reused Or Recyclable Materials To Reduce The Embodied Energy And Embodied Carbon.

4

Table.5. Architectural Action To Optimize The Room Separators, Author Based On [15]¹

S.	Building Layer	Action
		Cluster Urban Planning Options Are Recommended, Because It
1	1 Site Layer	Enable The Buildings With Open Areas And Landscape To Serve As
		A Noise Barrier.
		1- Break The Flanking Paths Through The Curtain Wall Sections
		(Mullions). 2- Specify Fixed Insulation Glass Units Figus With
	F 1	Minimum Spacing 10 Mm Between The Two Different Thickness
2	Envelope	Glass Panels For Thick Gas Such As Sodium Hexafluoride To Reduce
	Layer	The Coincidence Effect. 3- Although It's Doubling Cost, Secondary
		Glazing As Illustrated In Fig. 7, Is An Effective Way Of Improving
		Noise Reduction In Case Of Hinged Or Sliding Windows.
	Structure	Isolate The Building's Equipment And Services From Building's
3	3 Structure	Structure Is Necessary To Avoid The Impact/Airborne Noise From
	Layer	Travelling Through The Building's Elements.
		1- Balancing The Machines For Lower Vibrations Using Certified
		Rubber/Cork/Fibre Pads Resilient. 2- Place The Rotating Equipment
		In The Basement Floor. 3- Equipment & Mechanical Systems
	Sarvicas	Distribution Planning And Not To Place Any Equipment Next To The
4	Lover	Windows. 4- Air Conditioning Ducts Must Be Flexible, Interior
	Layer	Cushioning And Sticky Exterior Paint. 5- Avoid Back To Back
		Electrical Outlets. 6- Bathrooms Are Assembled In One Place And
		Back To Back. 7- Use Of Flexible Water Supply/Drainage Pipes With
		Resilient Fixings And Reducing Bends In Drain Pipes As Possible.
		1- Design The Wall With Full Ceiling Height (Above The
		Ceiling/Below The Raised Floor) With Good Room Distribution. 2-
	Separating	Add A Parallel Wall When The Main Wall Is A Part Of Building
5	Walls Laver	Structure. 3- Doors Should Be Solid With Gaskets, Spaced, Oriented
	Walls Layer	And Filled Joints With High Stc Flexible Material. 4- Secondary
		Doors May Be Effective Way With 30 Cm Minimum Space Between
		Primary And Secondary Doors.
		1- If Possible, Avoid Placing Kitchen Cupboards/Cabinets On Party
		Walls, And Use Soft Rubber Strips On All Cupboard Drawers. 2- For
	Interior	The Ceiling Finish, The Common Acoustic Treatment In Ceiling
	Finishes &	Finishes Is 60x60 Acoustic Ceiling Tiles. 3- Tightening The Joints
6	Furniture	Between The Floor And The Walls By Toe Base. 4- Finishing Walls
	Laver	With Materials That Improve The Acoustical Performance Such As
		Acoustic Foam Panels, Silent Pictures And Art Diffusor. 5- Using
		Underlayment Acoustic Treatment (Quiet Floor) Within Concrete
		Flooring System.

سبتمبر ۲۰۲۱

8. Conclusion And Recommendation

The Context In Eco-Friendly Acoustical Materials For Certified Separating Walls Between Adjacent Rooms Deals With Aspects Of Building Science And Produce A Set Of Results And Recommendations As The Following:

• Add A Factor Of Safety To Minimum (Stc) Rating Values Required To Adjacency Combination Functions Mentioned In (Leed) And (Gprs) Rating Systems Because Of Flanking Occurred During Construction Phase.

• Using The Executive Acoustical Technical Actions Help To Reduce The Numerical Gab Between The Calculation And Field Measurement Of The (Stc) Rating.

• The Sound Transmission Loss (Stl) Value Approximately Equal At Frequency 500hz Whether In Calculations Or Field Measurements, That Means The Disturbance In (Stc) Rating Occurred In Lower And Greater Frequencies, So The Calculations And Field Measurements Must Be In Each Of The Sixteen Octave Frequency Bands.

• Although, The Research Encourages The Use Of Ecological Materials, They Are Either Thick Like Straw Bale Or Heavy Weight Like Rammed Earth And Give The Same Efficiency As Other Separating Wall Types, So Researches Must Work On How To Develop Earth Materials To Be Of Lower Thickness And Less Weight With High Acoustic Performance.

• The Economic Area Must Be Taken Into Account When Choosing Any Type From The Suggested Separating Walls Type, Because The Greater The Thickness Of The Separator The More Negative Effect On The Room Area, So The Panel Types Are Distinguished By Their Small Thickness And Low Weight Compared To Other Masonry Types.

• The (Stc) Rating Can Be Increased By Raising The Density Of The Filler Used In Panels' Types, Such As Polystyrene And Mineral Rocks, Not Increasing The Thickness.

• The Research Encourages And Recommend The Use Of Recycled And Reused Materials And Systems To Improve The (Stc) Rating, Such As The Egg Carton.

• Research In Making A Products' Category System (Pcs) Has Become A Must In Order To Provide A Parallel Information Associated For Materials To Facilitate The Process Of Selecting The Suitable Certified And Eco-Friendly Materials.

• Bim Systems Should Be Used To Facilitate The Process Of Developing Alternatives To Choose Materials And Systems For The Separating Walls Design And Execution To Ensure That The Best Selection That Is Merging Between The Economic And Environmental Dimensions.

• The Life Cycle Information Availability Of Acoustical Material Must Be Considered And Certified By Environmental Product Declaration Epd.

References

¹World green building council website: <u>https://www.worldgbc.org/how-can-we-make-our-buildings-green</u> (Accessed 6 April 2020).

² Charrette, P., and Marshall, E., "UNIFORMAT Elemental Classification for building Specifications, Cost Estimate and Cost Analysis.", U.S. Department of Commerce, Technology Administration, National Institute of Standard and Technology, 2015: pp. 4, 1999.

³ The U.S. Green Building Council web site: <u>https://new.usgbc.org/leed</u> (Accessed 13 October 2019).

⁴ U.S. Green Building Council "LEED v4.1 BD+C rating system tracked changes", The U.S. Green Building Council web site: <u>https://new.usgbc.org/leed-v41</u>, July 2019, pp. 164 (Accessed 13 October 2019).

⁵ U.S. Green Building Council "LEED v4.1 BD+C rating system", The U.S. Green Building Council web site: <u>https://new.usgbc.org/leed-v41</u>, July 2019, pp. 141 (Accessed 13 October 2019)
 ⁶ HBRC, "Green Building Rating System – GPRS", 2018, pp. 35

⁷ Briiel, B., and Kjaer, K., "Architectural Acoustics", second edition, DENMARK, pp.321-322

⁸ ASTM Standard, "E413-87: classification for rating sound insulation", pp. 3, 1999 website: www.arnisco.com/astm/pdf/E413.pdf (Accessed on 19 October 2019)

⁹ Berge, B, "The ecology of buildings materials" second edition, 2009, Elsevier pp. 23"26

¹ Ivor H.Seeley " Building Technology", fifth edition, 1995, pp 286

¹ Marshall day website, <u>https://www.marshallday.com/innovation/software/</u> (Accessed 2 April 2020).

¹ INSUL software website²<u>http://www.insul.co.nz/</u> (Accessed 22 April 2020).

¹ HBRC," Field measurements of sound transmission by instruments to separating wall between adjacent guest rooms no. 1207&1209 – Tower 2 – level 12 at Nile Cornish Towers NCP", report no. BPEL-A-TL2-88-/018, sample code BPEL-A-TL2-88, July 2018

¹ HBRC," The Egyptian Code for Improving Energy Efficiency in Buildings ESP 306/1", 2005.

¹ HBRC," The Egyptian code for acoustical works and noise control in buildings ", 2015.